

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/068,857	·	02/11/2002	Dominique Loubinoux	4068-040	8967	
22850	7590 04/25/2005			EXAMINER		
,		MCCLELLAND	AFTERGUT, JEFF H			
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1733		

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							

Applicant(s)		
LOUBINOUX, DOMINIQUE		
Art Unit		
1733		

D C 41 E'P C A -1D C								
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jeff H. Aftergut	1733						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 08 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 		in the final rejection, wh	ichover is later. In					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>03 January 2005</u> . A bithe date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)),	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	11.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the					
appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	y must be filed within the time perio	od set forth in 37 CFR	41.37(a).					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	· · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) rejected: 30, 31, 43, 44, 45 and 47 (see the advi-	sory action dated 1-14-05).							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. 10. The affidavit as at the action as is a standard. As a surface to the control of the co	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	Is to provide a I).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacr	ea.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			ice because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)						
•		Alexan	gu					
		Jeff H. Aftergut Primary Examiner	7					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)



Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed claim language would require further search and or consideration as the specific ordering of the layers to the exclusion of any intermediate or additional layers in the assembly is now required by the proposed amendment. Additionally the requirement that the application of heat and optional pressure to produce a "solid" composite sheet is a new issure requiring further search and/or consideration. Note additionally that prior to the presented amendemnt there were 6 pending claims (30, 31, 43, 44, 45 and 47) however the proposed amendment changes the number of claims by adding 3 new claims without canceling a corresponding number of claims and as a result the amendment presented 7 claims for consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as set forth above the limiting of the claims to the specific number of layers and the specific order of laying would require further search and/or consideration. The final rejection stands for the same reasons as previously expressed in the advisory action dated 1-14-05..